IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CASE NO. 6:08 CV 120
	§	
OCCUPATIONAL & MEDICAL	§	
INNOVATIONS, LTD.	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
	§	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge.

'584 PATENT

INFRINGEMENT

1. Did RTI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that OMI infringes Claims 1, 2, 7, 18, 19, or 24 of the '584 patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

Claim 1	yes
Claim 2	des
Claim 7	yes.
Claim 18	4805
Claim 19	yes
Claim 24	ges

If you answered "Yes" on any Claim, answer the next question. If not, skip it.

INVALIDITY

2. Did OMI prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the listed claims of the '584 patent are invalid?

If you find the claim invalid answer "Yes," otherwise answer "No."

Claim 1	no
Claim 2	no
Claim 7	no
Claim 18	no
Claim 19	no
Claim 24	no

DAMAGES

If you have found any claim infringed and valid, answer question 3; otherwise, do not answer question 3.

3. What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate RTI for OMI's infringement of the '584 patent?

Answer with the amount: \$ 1, 571, 103 %

TRADE SECRET

MISAPPROPRIATION

4. Did RTI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that OMI misappropriated any of RTI's trade secrets?

Answer "Yes" or "No."



DAMAGES

If you have found any trade secret was misappropriated, answer question 5; otherwise, do not answer question 5.

5. What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate RTI for OMI's misappropriation of RTI's trade secret based on the value of RTI's trade secrets?

Answer with the amount: \$ 2, 240, 640. \times \times

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

6. Do you find that RTI's trade secret misappropriation claim is barred by the statute of limitations?

JURY FOREPERSON